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## Question 1

In Kohn-Sham density-functional theory, the single-particle equations are of the form

$$
\left(-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2}+v_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{r})\right) \chi_{i}=\epsilon_{i} \chi_{i}
$$

where, for an atom, the effective potential is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{r}) & =v_{\mathrm{J}}(\mathbf{r})+v_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathbf{r})+v_{\mathrm{xc}}(\mathbf{r}) \\
& =\int \frac{\rho\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|} d \mathbf{r}^{\prime}-\frac{Z}{|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}|}+\frac{\delta E_{\mathrm{xc}}[\rho]}{\delta \rho(\mathbf{r})} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) Show that the exact exchange-correlation potential for a neutral atom behaves as $v_{\mathrm{xc}} \rightarrow-1 / r$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$.
(b) The exchange-correlation potential for the LB94 density functional is defined as

$$
v_{x c}^{\mathrm{LB94}}(\mathbf{r})=-\beta n^{1 / 3}(\mathbf{r}) \frac{x^{2}}{1+3 \beta x \sinh ^{-1}(x)},
$$

where $\beta=0.05, n(\mathbf{r})$ is the electronic density, and the reduced-gradient is defined as $x=|\nabla n| / n^{4 / 3}$. As $r \rightarrow \infty, n \rightarrow e^{-\alpha r}$, where $\alpha$ is a constant. Show that in this limit $v_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\llcorner\mathrm{B} 94} \rightarrow-1 / r$.
You may find one or both of these results useful:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sinh ^{-1}(x) \rightarrow x \text { as } x \rightarrow 0 \\
& \sinh ^{-1}(x) \rightarrow-\ln \left(x^{-1}\right) \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

[10 marks]
(c) The exchange-correlation potential from local and semi-local functionals does not possess the $-1 / r$ long-range behaviour, but decays to zero exponentially with $r$. What are the consequences of this on excitation energies?
Using a diagram, explain how the LB94 functional may be used to asymptotically correct local and semi-local functionals.

## Question 2

The Hellmann-Feynman theorem states that for an exact eigenstate $|\psi\rangle$, the first-order change in the energy due to a perturbation may be calculated as the expectation value of the perturbation operator. That is, if $H(\alpha)=H+\alpha V$ and if $H(\alpha)\left|\psi_{\alpha}\right\rangle=E(\alpha)\left|\psi_{\alpha}\right\rangle$, then

$$
\left.\frac{\partial E(\alpha)}{\partial \alpha}\right|_{\alpha=0}=\langle\psi| V|\psi\rangle,
$$

where $|\psi\rangle=\left|\psi_{\alpha=0}\right\rangle$.
(a) Prove this theorem.
(b) Show that this theorem also holds for variational wavefunctions of the form $\tilde{\psi}=$ $\tilde{\psi}\left(c_{1}, c_{2}, \cdots, c_{n}\right)$ where $c_{i}$ are variational parameters.
[10 marks]
(c) Demonstrate how this theorem may be used to evaluate molecular properties such as the dipole moment $\mu_{z}$ through the method of finite differences. Explain how such a calculation might be performed in practice.
[8 marks]

## Question 3

(a) Using the variational principle, prove that a linear expansion of the form

$$
|C\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i}|i\rangle
$$

where the $|i\rangle$ are a set of orthonormal basis functions, can be optimized to lead to the following set of linear equations:

$$
\mathrm{Hc}=E(\mathbf{c}) \mathbf{c},
$$

where $H_{i j}=\langle i| H|j\rangle$. Under what condition does this set of linear equations have non-trivial solutions?
(b) In the Hückel approximation with a single basis function $|i\rangle$ per atomic site, the Hamiltonian matrix is defined as

$$
H_{i j}= \begin{cases}\alpha & \text { if } i=j \\ \beta & \text { if } i \text { and } j \text { neighbours } \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

(i) For which class of systems is the approximation valid, and why? What are the physical effects that this approximation is able to account for?
(ii) Consider the cyclopropenyl cation $\left(\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{3}\right)^{+}$which is the simplest example of an aromatic molecule. This cation has a carbon backbone that may be represented as


Taking the $p_{z}$ orbitals of the three carbon atoms as your basis (here $z$ is normal to the plane of the carbon ring), solve the secular equations in the Hückel approximation to find the energy eigenvalues. Represent these energy levels diagrammatically and indicate the ground state electron occupancy. [8 marks]
(iii) Solve for the normalized molecular orbital coefficients of the lowest energy state and sketch this state.
[5 marks]

## Question 4

(a) Define what is meant by the electron correlation energy $E_{\text {corr. }}$. Explain why it is expected to have a negative sign. For which class of systems will $E_{\text {corr }}=0$ ? Explain why this will be the case.
(b) The configuration interaction (CI) wavefunction in intermediate normalised form may be written as

$$
|\Psi\rangle=\left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle+\sum_{i a} c_{i}^{a}\left|\Psi_{i}^{a}\right\rangle+\sum_{i<j, a<b} c_{i j}^{a b}\left|\Psi_{i j}^{a b}\right\rangle+\cdots,
$$

where $\left|\Psi_{0}\right\rangle$ is the Hartree-Fock wavefunction to which is included a linear combination of excited-state determinants.
(i) Show that the correlation energy for the Cl expansion may be written as

$$
E_{\text {corr }}=\left\langle\Psi_{0}\right|\left(H-E_{0}\right)|\Psi\rangle=\sum_{i<j, a<b} c_{i j}^{a b}\left\langle\Psi_{0}\right| H\left|\Psi_{i j}^{a b}\right\rangle,
$$

where $E_{0}$ is the HF energy.
(ii) This expression depends on the Cl expansion coefficients for the doubly excited determinants only. Does this imply that the other determinants are not needed? Explain.
(c) A method is said to be size-extensive if for a system containing non-interacting subsystems, the total energy is equal to the sum of energies of the individual subsystems.
(i) Explain why a truncated CI expansion such as CID ( Cl with double excitations only) is not size-extensive.
(ii) Hence explain how this problem is alleviated using a coupled-cluster model such as CCD (coupled-cluster with doubles).

## Question 5

In Kohn-Sham density-functional theory, the single-particle equations are of the form

$$
\left(-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2}+v_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{r})\right) \chi_{i}=\epsilon_{i} \chi_{i},
$$

where the effective potential is defined as

$$
\begin{aligned}
v_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathbf{r}) & =v_{J}(\mathbf{r})+v_{\mathrm{ext}}(\mathbf{r})+v_{\mathrm{xc}}(\mathbf{r}) \\
& =\int \frac{\rho\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|} d \mathbf{r}^{\prime}-\sum_{\alpha} \frac{Z_{\alpha}}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{R}_{\alpha}\right|}+\frac{\delta E_{\mathrm{xc}}[\rho]}{\delta \rho} .
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) Show that for the hydrogen atom $v_{x c}(\mathbf{r})=-v_{J}(\mathbf{r})$. Hence find the large $r$ behaviour of $v_{\mathrm{xc}}(\mathbf{r})$.
(b) The Slater exchange functional is defined as

$$
E_{x}[\rho]=-C_{x} \int \rho^{4 / 3}(\mathbf{r}) d \mathbf{r}
$$

where $C_{x}$ is a positive constant. Explain why $E_{x}[\rho]$ is defined to be negative and derive the corresponding exchange potential $v_{x}(\mathbf{r})$ in this approximation.
(c) Use these two results to show that local exchange density functionals such as the Slater functional will contain a self interaction error. Explain in detail the nature of this error and its consequences on the energetics (ground state and excited state energies) of the system and on properties such as the polarizability.
(d) Explain in detail how this error is reduced in hybrid functionals such as PBEO which include a fraction of Hartree-Fock exchange. And explain how this error is potentially removed in range-separated functionals.

## End of questions

An appendix of two pages follows

## Appendix: Slater-Condon Rules

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{O}_{1} & =\sum_{i} h(i) \\
\mathcal{O}_{2} & =\sum_{i>j} r_{i j}^{-1} \\
H & =\mathcal{O}_{1}+\mathcal{O}_{2} \\
\langle\Psi| \mathcal{O}_{1}|\Psi\rangle & =\sum_{i} h_{i i} \\
\langle\Psi| \mathcal{O}_{2}|\Psi\rangle & =\sum_{i>j}[\langle i j \mid i j\rangle-\langle i j \mid j i\rangle] \\
\langle\Psi| H|\Psi\rangle & =\sum_{i}\langle i| h|i\rangle+\sum_{i>j}[\langle i j \mid i j\rangle-\langle i j \mid j i\rangle] \\
\left\langle\Psi_{i}^{a}\right| \mathcal{O}_{1}|\Psi\rangle & =\langle a| h|i\rangle=h_{a i} \\
\left\langle\Psi_{i}^{a}\right| \mathcal{O}_{2}|\Psi\rangle & =\sum_{j}[\langle a j \mid i j\rangle-\langle a j \mid j i\rangle] \\
\left\langle\Psi_{i}^{a}\right| H|\Psi\rangle & =\langle a| h|i\rangle+\sum_{j}[\langle a j \mid i j\rangle-\langle a j \mid j i\rangle] \\
\left\langle\Psi_{i j}^{a b}\right| \mathcal{O}_{2}|\Psi\rangle & =\langle a b \mid i j\rangle-\langle a b \mid j i\rangle \\
\left\langle\Psi_{i j}^{a b}\right| H|\Psi\rangle & =\langle a b \mid i j\rangle-\langle a b \mid j i\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

## Appendix: physical constants

Speed of light in vacuum
Permittivity of free space
Permeability of free space
Electronic charge
Planck constant

Boltzmann constant
Electron mass
Avogadro number
Bohr magneton

$$
\begin{aligned}
c & =2.9979 \times 10^{8} \mathrm{~ms}^{-1} \\
\epsilon_{0} & =8.854 \times 10^{-12} \mathrm{Fm}^{-1} \\
\mu_{0} & =4 \pi \times 10^{-7} \mathrm{Hm}^{-1} \\
e & =1.6022 \times 10^{-19} \mathrm{C} \\
h & =6.626 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{Js} \\
\hbar & =h / 2 \pi=1.055 \times 10^{-34} \mathrm{Js} \\
k_{\mathrm{B}} & =1.3807 \times 10^{-23} \mathrm{JK}^{-1} \\
m & =9.109 \times 10^{-31} \mathrm{~kg}^{2} \\
N_{\mathrm{A}} & =6.022 \times 10^{23} \mathrm{~mol}^{-1} \\
\mu_{\mathrm{B}} & =9.274 \times 10^{-24} \mathrm{Am}^{2} \quad\left(\mathrm{or} \mathrm{JT}^{-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

